Effects of Substrate Phonons on the Graphene Plasmonic Absorption
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Abstract- We propose a new method to show the effect of the substrate phonons on the plasmonic absorption in graphene nanoribbons. In doing so, first, we have used a random phase approximation method to compare the dielectric functions of the graphene layers patterned on a polar SiO₂ and a nonpolar like diamond-like-carbon (DLC) substrates. Then, using the employing dielectric functions together with the integral equation method, we have compared the corresponding plasmonic absorptions in the mid-infrared wavelengths. The comparison has revealed the SiO₂ surface phonons strongly interact with the graphene surface plasmons (SPs) at the wavelengths $\lambda = 8.56$ and $12.4 \, \mu \text{m}$, modifying the related dielectric function and hence the plasmonic absorption significantly, as compared to that of the structured graphene on the nonpolar substrate. This study paves the way for designing graphene based plasmonic devices such as modulators, waveguides, and detectors.
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1. Introduction

Graphene surface plasmons (SPs) have attracted great attention because of their long propagation length and confinement in very small volumes besides the graphene tunability, as compared to SPs in metals. Patterning a graphene sheet into nano/microstructures such as ribbons, disks, rings, or dots can provide the condition for conserving the incident light wavevector while exciting the graphene SPs [1]. The pattern geometry, doping level, and the substrate material define the SPs resonance frequency at which the plasmonic absorption peaks [2].

Moreover, vibrations of the electrically positive and negative atoms on the surface of polar substrates such as SiO$_2$ are quantized in the surface optical phonons, inducing an electric field that damps exponentially away from the surface. When the resonance frequency of SPs excited in structured graphene patterned on a polar substrate coincides with that of the substrate surface phonons, their electric fields strongly interact, resulting in the hybrid plasmon-phonon modes [2]. Conversely, a nonpolar substrate such as diamond-like-carbon (DLC) has no surface optical phonons to interact with the overlying graphene SPs. A SiO$_2$ substrate has two surface phonons at the mid-infrared wavelengths of 8.56 and 12.4 μm, resulting in three hybrid plasmon-phonon modes in the overlying structured graphene [3]. For frequencies far below and far above the given frequencies, the hybrid modes approach to the plasmonic modes. Conversely, close to either of the two given wavelengths, the phonon modes become dominant.

A few research works have investigated the impact of the substrate surface phonons on the graphene plasmon modes [4-6], to dates, creating the hybrid plasmon-phonon modes. Nonetheless, this phenomenon deserves further theoretical investigations.

Hence, in this work, we devoted our efforts to describe the effect of phonons-plasmons coupling on the plasmonic absorption in arrays of graphene nanoribbons, employing the random phase approximation (RPA) together with the integral equation method (IEM), for the first time.

2. Methods

To achieve our aims, first, we have used RPA for obtaining the dielectric function two arrays of graphene nanoribbons; one formed on a SiO$_2$ and the other on a DLC substrate. Then we used these dielectric functions and IEM to evaluate the plasmonic absorption in the structured graphene.

2.1 RPA and Dielectric function

In many-particle physics, the plasmon response of the electron gas begins with finding the dielectric function. To this aim, a common useful model is RPA. In this model, the electrons respond to a total potential, $V_{tot}$, which is the sum of the external potential, $V_{ext}$, and the screening potential, $V_{scr}$. Once $V_{tot}$ is found, the dielectric function can be obtained from

$$\varepsilon_{RPA}(q, \omega) = \frac{V_{tot}(q, \omega)}{V_{tot}(q, \omega)} = \frac{V_{ext}(q)}{V_{tot}(q, \omega)},$$

(1)

where $\varepsilon_{RPA}$ is the RPA expansion of the dielectric function. To find $V_{tot}$, Feynman diagrams are useful. In many-particle systems with only electrons are involved, Feynman diagram looks like to Fig. 1, in which $V_c(q) = e^2/2qe_0$ and $\Pi_0$ are the Coulomb interact between two electrons and polarizability, respectively. It shows that the total potential consists of two parts, one is the interaction between two electrons and the other is the interaction between two electrons mediated by the other electrons. After some algebra we find

$$V_{tot}(q, \omega) = \frac{V_c(q)}{1-V_c(q)\Pi_0(q, \omega)}.$$

(2)

For graphene we have

$$V_{eff} = V_c + V_c \Pi V_{eff}$$
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Green’s function of line sources in a periodic grid, we find Green’s function for periodic ribbons as

\[ G_p(x, z | x') = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-j\mathbf{k}_m|z|} e^{j\mathbf{k}_m(x-x')}, \] (6)

where \( G_p \) is the periodic Green’s function, \( d \) is the periodicity, \( k_{zm} = k'_i + \frac{2m\pi}{d} \), and \( k_{zm} \) is defined as

\[ k_{zm} = \begin{cases} \sqrt{k_0^2 - k_{on}^2 - k_y^2} & k_0 \geq k_{on}^2 + k_{y}^2 \\ -j\sqrt{k_{on}^2 + k_y^2 - k_0^2} & k_0 \leq k_{on}^2 + k_{y}^2 \end{cases}, \] (7)

where \( k_0, k'_i, k'_y \) are the incident wave vector in free space, along \( x \) and \( y \), respectively [9]. Next, we must define the integral equations that we solve with this Green’s function, Eq. (6). One way to model ultrathin periodic structures is an equivalent sheet model in which we have following boundary conditions,

\[ \hat{n} \times [E^+ - E^-] = 0, \]
\[ \hat{n} \times [E^+ + E^-] = 2R \hat{n} \times \hat{n} \times [H^* - H^-]. \] (8)

R is the impedance of the graphene sheet, \( E^+ \) and \( H^+ \) are the electric and magnetic fields at just above and below of the sheet and \( \hat{n} \) is the unit normal in the + direction. It is known that reversing the conductivity, gives us R. For graphene, when plasmon is propagating we have,

\[ \sigma(q, \omega) \approx \frac{12\alpha_0\varepsilon_0}{q}, \] (9)

where \( \sigma, \varepsilon, \) and \( \varepsilon_0 \) are the conductivity, the dielectric function of graphene and free space permittivity, respectively.

As we mentioned recently, the dielectric function of graphene on polar and nonpolar substrates are obtained from Eq. (4) and Eq. (5), respectively. We use them in Eq. (9) to model the conductivity of graphene and then find sheet impedance on different substrates. After that, by putting R in Eq. (8), and following the IEM method procedures with defined
Green's function, Eq. (6), we find plasmonic absorption, as shown in Fig. 4. Here, the width of nanoribbons is chosen to excite plasmon in the CO$_2$ laser frequency, ~29 THz.

3. Conclusion

We have combined two well-known techniques — i.e., the random phase approximation and integral equation method, — to show how a polar substrate can affect the plasmonic absorption in an overlaying array of graphene nanoribbons. The simulation results have demonstrated that the surface phonons on the SiO$_2$ substrate modify the graphene plasmonic absorption remarkably about the frequencies of the surface optical phonons in SiO$_2$ (λ = 8.56 and 12.4 μm).
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